North Asian International Research Journal of Pharmaceutical & Medical Sciences

ISSN: 2456-8287 Vol. 2, Issue-2 February-2018

CUTANEOUS MYOEPITHELIOMA: A CASE REPORT OF AN UNUSUAL AND RECENTLY RECOGNIZED ENTITY

M. JAVED SIDDIQI

ABSTRACT

Myoepitheliomas and blended tumors were just as of late perceived to happen basically in delicate tissue, and just little case numbers have been depicted. The present case is of 25-year-old male who had lone, easy mass over right center finger, estimating 3cm in most prominent measurements and light microscopy uncovered a tumor made out of a blended populace of shaft, epithelioid and plasmacytoid cells masterminded around a focal chondromyxoid stroma. No positive analysis could be come to on this morphology and introductory conclusion of kind blended stromal tumor was considered. Immunohistochemistry(IHC) was performed and the tumor indicated solid energy for Calponin and SMA, Ki-file demonstrated low file, frail and central inspiration for S-100 and negative for Pan-CK. The last analysis of favorable myoepithelioma was engaged.

***KEYWORDS**: Myoepithelioma, Cutaneous, Soft tissue*

INTRODUCTION

Myoepithelioma of the skin and delicate tissue is a recently perceived substance just ten years back with less than 50 case reports. It has trademark histopathologic and immunohistochemical highlights, which should be separated from an assortment of tumors

Case Report

Case history: A 25-year-old male gave a lone, effortless mass over right center finger, estimating 3cm in most prominent measurement since a half year. There is no expansion in estimate and overlying skin is smooth and unremarkable. The mass is firm, non-delicate and versatile. X-beam demonstrated a delicate tissue mass with fundamental bone unremarkable.

Gross

Numerous tissue bits total estimating 3×2×1cm and skin fold estimating 2×1cm.

Light Microscopy

Uncovered a tumor in the shallow dermis made out of a blended populace of shaft , epithelioid, and plasmacytoid cells orchestrated around a focal chondromyxoid stroma. No unequivocal conclusion could be come to on this morphology and finding of kindhearted blended stromal tumor was considered.

Immunohistochemistry(IHC)

IHC was performed and indicated emphatically positive Calponin and SMA. S-100 was feeble and centrally positive. Container CK was negative. Ki 67 uncovered low list (2%). The last conclusion of Benign myoepithelioma was engaged.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The essential differential conclusions considered were extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma (EMC) and solidifying fibromyxoid tumor (OFMT). EMC normally demonstrates a multinodular development design with lines of cells in a myxoid framework. The tumor cells in EMC are more spindled than those of myoepitheliomas. S-100 protein furthermore, epithelial markers are communicated in a minority of EMC and generally just centrally, while both the markers are frequently broadly communicated in myoepitheliomas. OFMT is a lobulated tumor encompassed by an edge of metaplastic bone. The tumor cells are for the most part pale-recoloring ovoid to round cells. Around 70% of OFMT demonstrate energy for S-100 protein and vimentin and half of tumor cells are certain for desmin. The tumor cells in OFMT are once in a while positive for epithelial markers and GFAP. Myoepitheliomas are for the most part negative for desmin, almost half positive for GFAP, and about dependably indicate inspiration for keratin and S-100 protein.

Different tumors that ought to be separated are, Epithelioid kind sinewy histiocytoma that for the most part demonstrates a shallow dermal tumor with an all around created epidermal collarette. Spitz nevus is portrayed by a junctional segment, settling and development of tumor cells. In epithelioid sarcoma, numerous tumor knobs around focal rot or even myxoid degeneration are regularly observed. More morphologic consistency is seen in epithelioid sarcoma over myoepithelioma. In addition, roughly 90% of epithelioid sarcoma are certain for vimentin, cytokeratin, and EMA, and around 60% are sure for CD34, however are for the most part negative for different markers commonplace myoepithelial separation (S-100 protein, GFAP, myogenic markers). Cell neurothekeomaconsists of settling of tumor cells, and are reliably S-100 negative.

COMMENT

Tumors contained for the most part of myoepithelial cells without clear epithelial separation are assigned myoepitheliomas.[1] Neoplasms of myoepithelial cells can happen in an unadulterated frame as myoepitheliomas or in relationship with glandular structures as blended tumors.[2] Myoepitheliomas of the skin and delicate tissue were perceived just 10 years ago.[3] Myoepithelial cells can show double epithelial and myoid separation. They may likewise demonstrate dissimilar metaplasia, including squamous, adipocytic, bone and cartilaginous differentiation.[4,5] As an outcome, multiplying myoepithelial cells in neoplasms show an assortment of histologic and immunohistochemical articulation designs. It has been proposed that cutaneous myoepitheliomas are identified

with blended tumors of skin and that delicate tissue myoepitheliomas are gotten from profoundly found adnexal structures. Cutaneous myoepitheliomas of the head and neck might be gotten from salivary organ tissue, as has been accounted for in two parotid organ myoepitheliomas exhibiting as infra-auricular subcutaneous masses.[6] Therefore, the likelihood of a basic essential salivary organ neoplasm ought to be considered in myoepitheliomas displaying in the head and neck.

Myoepithelial tumors were depicted just as of late in delicate tissue, and, to date, less than 50 cases have been accounted for. Kilpatrick et al [3] announced an investigation of 19 patients with blended tumors and myoepitheliomas of delicate tissue in 1997. Michal et al [7] announced 12 extra instances of myoepitheliomas of the skin and delicate tissues in 1999, Hornick and Fletcher led an investigation of 14 cutaneous myoepitheliomas. There were 11 guys and 3 females. The investigation demonstrated that cutaneous myoepitheliomas happen with crests in adolescence (7 patients were in the vicinity of 10 and 20 years old) and middle age and are most basic on the furthest points, as opposed to blended tumors of the skin, which normally happen on the head and neck in moderately aged or elderly adults.[1,3,4]

Myoepitheliomas of delicate tissue are regularly lobulated, and the most incessant design design is reticular or trabecular with chondromyxoid or hyalinized stroma. These injuries show an indistinguishable extensive variety of histologic highlights from those of salivary organ root.

Numerous tumors are heterogeneous, containing an admixture of epithelioid and spindled cells, reticular territories converging with strong zones, at any rate centrally noticeable stroma, and incidental foci of cartilaginous or rigid differentiation.[8] A little subset

of tumors around 10% are prevalently strong expansions of spindled or plasmacytoid myoepithelial cells. Incidental tumors show highlights of supposed parachordoma, to be specific, vast epithelioid cells with eosinophilic epitheliomas. At first, myoepitheliomas were just perceived to contain spindled or plasmacytoid cells developing in strong sheets. [9]Current arrangements in this manner incorporate these examples inside the range of myoepithelioma, essentially isolating those tumors with ductal separation into the blended tumor category.[10,11]Whereas a few examiners permit up to 5% or 10% ductal separation in myoepitheliomas, others group tumors with any pipes as blended tumors. In any occasion, it is currently generally imagined that myoepitheliomas and blended tumors fall along a range of tumors with covering histologic appearances and comparative clinical conduct. Since the immunophenotype of these injuries covers with myoepithelioma, and generally common myoepitheliomas can indicate central regions with "parachordoma"- like highlights, it is winding up progressively obvious that parachordoma presumably falls inside the range of myoepithelioma of delicate tissue, as is reflected in the new WHO classification.[11] The main clear contrast in immunophenotype is GFAP and SMA pessimism in parachordomas, in light of the fact that few instances of parachordoma have been contemplated and just around half of generally persuading delicate tissue myoepitheliomas are GFAP positive and just around 40% are SMA-positive, at that point this refinement appears to be extremely faulty. Consciousness of the wide morphologic scope of myoepitheliomas is important to perform corroborative immunohistochemical stains and in this way to touch base at the right diagnosis.[12] In salivary organs, myoepitheliomas are by and large positive for cytokeratins and S-100 protein, while immunostaining for actin and GFAP is variable. We along these lines required immunoreactivity for either keratin or EMA, in conjunction with discovery of S-100 protein or myogenic

markers, for the conclusion of myoepithelioma and consideration in this arrangement. Neoplastic myoepithelial cells of every morphologic kind frequently communicated myogenic markers.[13] As has been accounted for in the salivary organ, we observed calponin to be the most delicate myogenic marker, recoloring 86% of tumors, while SMA recolored 36% and desmin just a little subset (14%). Strangely, the basal cell/myoepithelial marker p63, which has indicated utility in the differential conclusion of carcinoma of bosom and prostate because of the recoloring of myoepithelial or basal cells in situ injuries, gives off an impression of being discernible in just a single fourth of delicate tissue myoepithelial tumors. Immunostaining for p63 isn't particular for myoepithelial tumors, be that as it may, as this antigen has additionally been accounted for in different neoplasms, particularly squamous cell and urothelial carcinomas.[13,14] Nonetheless, identification of p63 articulation may give accommodating strong confirmation of myoepithelial separation in the correct morphologic setting.

CONCLUSION

Cutaneous myoepitheliomas are moderately uncommon. Pathologists assume an essential part in coming to precise morphological determination. Myoepitheliomas ought to be considered in the differential analysis of cutaneous and delicate tissue tumors. Immunohistochemical study may help in the finding. Albeit most cutaneous and delicate tissue myoepitheliomas act in a kindhearted manner, there is a noteworthy hazard for nearby repeat and a low metastatic potential. Wide extraction with safe surgical edges and general follow-up are critical for the management of cutaneous and soft tissue myoepitheliomas.

REFERENCES

1. Hornick JL,Fletche CDM. Myoepithelial tumors of soft tissue a clinicopathologic and Immunohistochemical study of 101 cases with evaluation of prognostic parameters. Am J Surg Pathol. 2003;27:1183–1196.

2. Hornick JL, Fletcher CD. Cutaneous myoepithelioma: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 14 cases. Hum Pathol. 2004;35:14-24.

3. Kilpatrick SE, Hitchcock MG, Kraus MD, Calonje E, Fletcher CD. Mixed tumors and myoepitheliomas of soft tissue: a clinicopathologic study of 19 cases with a unifying concept. Am J Surg Pathol. 1997;21:13-22.

4. Mentzel T, Requena L, Kaddu S et al. Cutaneous myoepithelial neoplasms: clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 20 cases suggesting a continuous spectrum ranging from benign mixed tumor of the skin to cutaneous myoepithelioma and myoepithelial carcinoma. J Cutan Pathol. 2003;30:294-302.

5. Fernández-Figueras MT, Puig L, Trias I, Lorenzo JC, Navas-Palacios JJ. Benign myoepithelioma of the skin. Am J Dermatopathol. 1998;20:208-12.

6. Lewin MR, Montgomery EA, Barrett TL. New or unusual dermatopathology tumors: a review. J Cutan Pathol. 2011;38:689-96.

7. Kutzner H, Mentzel T, Kaddu S et al. Cutaneous myoepithelioma: an under-recognized cutaneous neoplasm composed of myoepithelial cells. Am J Surg Pathol. 2001;25:348-55

8. Dix BT, Hentges MJ, Saltrick KR, Krishnamurti U. Cutaneous myoepithelioma in the foot: case report. Foot Ankle Spec. 2013;6:239-41.

9. Michal M, Miettinen M. Myoepitheliomas of the skin and soft tissues. Report of 12 cases. Virchows Arch. 1999;434:393-400.

10. Franklin G, Chen S, Sznyter LA, Morgenstern NJ. Cutaneous myoepithelioma with a plexiform pattern of growth: a case report. J Cutan Pathol. 2009;36:42-5.

11. Jo VY, Antonescu CR, Zhang L et al. Cutaneous Syncytial Myoepithelioma: Clinicopathologic Characterization in a Series of 38 Cases. Am J Surg Pathol. 2013 ; 37: 710–718.

12. Jakate K, Wong K, Sirbovan J, Hanna W. Cutaneous myoepithelioma arising within hidradenoma of the scalp. J Cutan Pathol. 2012;39:279-85.

13. Stojsic Z, Brasanac D, Boricic I, Bacetic D. Clear cell myoepithelial carcinoma of the skin. A case report. J Cutan Pathol. 2009;36:680-3.

14. Tanahashi J, Kashima K, Daa T, Kondo Y, Kuratomi E, Yokoyama S. A case of cutaneous myoepithelial carcinoma. . J Cutan Pathol. 2007;34:648-53.